

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1906-07
191 .

File No. 485

Serial Nos.

Later references.

(Muxrai)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the petition of Achik
Kesava Chatta concerning the alleged missing
of some jewels belonging to the Sri Chennakesa.
-Vaswamy Temple at Belur

300000
D, 21. 7. 06

Draft subd
to the 2d member
for appl

19/7/06

20/7/06

Issue 1/1

20/7/06

W. G. 1st

20

The Dy Commr

Hassan District

In continuation
of Govt- No 2036
dated 12th 4/11/05
passing orders on the
appeal petition of
Kisuru Bhatta, Archak
of the Sri Chinnakisuru
Swami Temple at - Belur,
who has the honor to forward
herewith petition Regd
no 198 ~~dated~~ filed in this
office on the 12th instant
from the said Archak

2. In para 8 of the
petition he states that,
ⁱⁿ
~~while~~ receiving charge
from the other Archak
Sampat Kumar Bhatta,
some precious stones,
pearls &c were found
missing in some of the
jewels and that the fact-
was immediately brought-
to the notice of the Shikdar.
Requests that the matter
^{may}
~~should~~ at once be
enquired into and a
detailed report- subd
to Govt- within a fortnight.

3. If the allegation
contained in the petition is
true requests also to be
informed what action was taken
by the Shikdar as soon

as the fact was reported
to him.

SPV Bo
11/11/06
Secretary
Muzni dep

no 531 27 hs
21 7 06 1877/06

Encl- to whom that-
a report- has been
collected ^{for} from ~~from~~ the
Dr. Comr 11/11/06
hs

1906-07

From

P.R.W. Wetherall Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L. Anantarami Rao Esq B.A.
Secy to the Govt of the Maharaja
of Mysore, Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 9/11/06


Despatched 12/11/06 } November 1906

Received

General No. 384
No. 8

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting a report on the
petition of Anchi
Kesarabhatta concerning
the alleged missing of some
jewels belonging to Chamma-
Kesarabhatta Temple at
Belur.



Replies

14/11/06

C

22/11/06

Deputy Commissioners
Hassan District

Dated 9th November 1906

From

P. R. W. Wetherall Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L. Anantarami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 520
dated 21st July 1906 forwarding for enquiry
and report a petition from Kesava Bhatta
Archik of the Sri Chama Kesava Swamy
temple at Belur complaining that in
receiving charge of temple jewels from
the other Archik Sampath Kumar Bhatta,
some pearls and precious stones were
missing &c, I have the honour to state
that it will be seen from the vernacular
Yadi received from the Senior Assistant
Commissioner, Sakleshpur, that Mr
Krishna Dyer while Auditor of the Belur
Taluk

1, submitted a report on 10th May 1906 to the Senior Assistant Commissioner, Sakhalpuri, with reference to similar petitions addressed to the Senior Assistant Commissioner and the Amildar, stating that the charge of the temple jewels were made over to Kesava-bhatta by the other Archak who was in charge of them in the presence of the Amildar and the Bancharayadars. He further reported that none of the jewellery as alleged in the petition were then missing and that they were in accordance with the list of the temple jewels maintained in the Taluk. In the latter list some "sthanapaku" in certain jewels are noted and that the same were found when the charge was made over, but that no jewels were missing.


2. On receipt of the reference under advertisement by Krishna Dey who had been since transferred to the Holi-Narsipur Taluk, was again addressed through the General Assistant Commissioner and in reply he states that as remarked in the list by Mr B. Ramadramanigal B.A. B.L.

Assistant Commissioner, who held the inspection of temple jewels in 1904 there were some "sthanapala" for certain jewels and that the jewels were made over to Kesavabhatta in the presence of the Panchayatdars in the same style as had been given over to Sampat Kumarbhatta when he was placed in charge of the jewels.

3. It appears, therefore, that there is no truth in what the petitioner states regarding the loss of pearls or precious stones belonging to the temple.

4. The vernacular papers ending with the Senior Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No 99 dated 10.8.1906 are herein enclosed for reference.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant



For Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

copied
after 29.11.06
029/2/1.12.06
3/6

The Dy Commr
Hassan District

reporting on the
petition of Anahuk
Kusuma Bhatta in
the matter of the
alleged missing
of some of the
precious stones
in certain jewels
handed over to his
charge by the
other Archak
Sampathkumar
Bhatta, I am
directing to invite
your attention
to the petition presented

Draft for approval
90-92 - muzrai

Resd Sir,
With reference to
your letter no 384, dated

9th November 1906, from the

~~Deputy Commissioner, Hassan~~

~~District, reporting with reference~~

~~to this Office No. 530, dated 21st~~

~~July 1906, that Mr Koushina Iyer~~

~~was the Amildar of the Belur~~

~~Taluk when Sampathkumar~~

~~Bhatta, one of the Archaks~~

~~of the Sri Cheema Kesava Swami~~

~~temple at Belur made over~~

~~the temple jewels to the other~~

~~Archak Kesava Bhatta and~~

~~the said Amildar was present~~

~~at the ceremony along with~~

~~the Panchayatdars - it was~~

~~here when the jewels~~

~~made over to him~~

other Archak, ~~made~~ that the
jewels were in tact, and that
there is no truth in the allegation
made by Kesava Bhatta ^{in his petn to Govt. N. R.} regarding
the loss of pearls or precious stones
belonging to the Institution

Order thereon

Recorded.

2 The Deputy Commissioner
is requested to call upon the
petitioner Kesava Bhatta to
appear before him in person
and to show cause why he
should not be prosecuted under
Sec 182 of I P C for writing the
false petition in question

Seene la-3

20

The Dy. Commr. Kurnool Dt., 24.1.8 one Comr.

by the said Reserve
Bhatta to the Auditor
- Mr Krishna Dey on the 3rd March 1906,
and to his statement
given before the
present Auditor
Mr Krishnaswami
on the 1st August
1906
from ^{these} ~~which~~ it is
observed that the
fact of some of the
previous stones not
~~being~~ being found
in certain jewels
was brought to the
notice of the Auditor
Mr Krishna Dey then said that and there was
that the Auditor
promised to have
a list made out of
such

missing articles 24-
should be reported
whether such a list-
was since made out by the Amildar
~~and whether the temple~~

and if so a copy
of it- should be sent
to this office together
with the Ghatti Pattigar in original,
prepared at the time
of Sampathkarnam
Bhatta handing over
of the jewels.

Charge to ~~the~~ Reserve Bhatta
^

2 The enclosures
are returned for
reference and resubmission

Dyl-

RS
28/11/06

I have to

Smt. Singh